DAYLE ELIESON NICHOLAS A. TRUTTONICH United States Attorney LISA CARTIER-GIROUX Assistant United States Attorney 3 Nevada State Bar Number 14040 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 388-6336 Lisa.Cartier-Giroux@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 2:18-CR-239-RFB-GWF Stipulation to Modify the Binding Plea 10 Plaintiff, Agreement as to Cameron Lee Thomas, 11 **ECF No. 101** v. CAMERON LEE THOMAS, 12 Defendant. 13 14 The United States of America and Cameron Lee Thomas (defendant) and his 15 counsel, Raquel Lazo, agree as follows: 16 1. The government and Cameron Lee Thomas agree to modify the Plea Agreement 17 (ECF No. 101) to add property to be forfeited. The Plea Agreement will remain in effect as 18 to all other aspects of the agreement. 19 2. The defendant agrees to the forfeiture of the property and the imposition of the 20 forfeiture of the property as set forth in this Stipulation to Modify Plea Agreement as to 21 Cameron Lee Thomas (Stipulation). 22' 3. The defendant admits the following property is (1) any firearm or ammunition 23 involved in or used in any knowing violation of any criminal law of the United States, 18 24 U.S.C. §§ 113(a)(3) and 924(c)(1)(A)(i) and (2) any firearm or ammunition intended to be 25 used in any crime of violence, 18 U.S.C. §§ 113(a)(3) and 924(c)(1)(A)(i), and is subject to 26 forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1) with 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 27 111 28

Fines or Cruel and Unusual Punishments Clauses of the Eighth Amendment to the United

27

28

States Constitution;

- L. Agrees to the entry of an Order of Forfeiture of the property to the United States;
  - M. Waives the right to appeal any Order of Forfeiture;
  - N. Agrees the property is forfeited to the United States;
- O. Agrees and understands the abandonment, the civil administrative forfeiture, the civil judicial forfeiture, or the criminal forfeiture of the property shall not be treated as satisfaction of any assessment, fine, restitution, cost of imprisonment, or any other penalty the Court may impose upon the defendant in addition to the abandonment or the forfeiture;
- P. Acknowledges that the amount of the forfeiture may differ from, and may be significantly greater than or less than, the amount of restitution; and
- Q. Agrees to take all steps as requested by the United States to pass clear title of the property to the United States and to testify truthfully in any judicial forfeiture proceedings. The defendant understands and agrees that the property represents proceeds and/or facilitating property of illegal conduct and is forfeitable. The defendant acknowledges that failing to cooperate in full in the forfeiture of the property constitutes a breach of this Stipulation.
- 5. Each party acknowledges and warrants that its execution of the Stipulation is free and is voluntary.
  - 6. The Stipulation contains the entire agreement between the parties.
- 7. Except as expressly stated in the Stipulation, no party, officer, agent, employee, representative, or attorney has made any statement or representation to any other party, person, or entity regarding any fact relied upon in entering into the Stipulation, and no party, officer, agent, employee, representative, or attorney relies on such statement or representation in executing the Stipulation.
- 8. The persons signing the Stipulation warrant and represent that they have full authority to execute the Stipulation and to bind the persons and/or entities, on whose behalf they are signing, to the terms of the Stipulation.

28

1

- 9. This Stipulation shall be construed and interpreted according to federal forfeiture law and federal common law. The jurisdiction and the venue for any dispute related to, and/or arising from, this Stipulation is the unofficial Southern Division of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, located in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 10. This Stipulation shall not be construed more strictly against one party than against the other merely by virtue of the fact that it may have been prepared primarily by counsel for one of the parties; it being recognized that both parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465(a)(2), that there was reasonable cause for the seizure and forfeiture of the property.

Federal Public Defender

RAQUELLAZO, ESQ. Counselfor Cameron Lee Thomas

DATED 1.24.19

CAMERON LEE THOMAS

DATED:

DAYLE ELIESON
United States Attorney

LISA CARTIER-GIRÓUX Assistant United States Attorney

NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH

IT IS SO ORDERED:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

DATED: \_ January 30, 2019